## Jazz: A Tool for Demand-**Driven Structural Testing**

### Bruce Childers (childers@cs.pitt.edu)



Jon Misurda, James A. Clause, Juliya L. Reed Department of Computer Science University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260 USA

## Mary Lou Soffa



Department of Computer Science University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22904 USA

## Structural Software Testing

- Assure quality, robust software Collect coverage information about the program
- Coverage types
  - Node coverage determines basic blocks executed
  - Branch coverage determines edges executed
  - Def-use coverage determines pairs of variable definitions and uses that are executed
- Over multiple inputs until coverage criteria

## **Current Tools and Approaches**

- E.g., JCover, PurifyPlus
- Use static program instrumentation Injected prior to program execution
  - □ E.g., instrument basic blocks with "hit counter" to indicate when a block is executed
- Limitations
  - Not scalable: Instrumentation remains in program
  - □ Inflexible: Only certain tests, languages, platforms

## **Our Approach**

- A scalable & flexible framework
  - Automatically apply multiple test strategies
  - Multiple languages and platforms
  - Handle large programs
- Demand-driven structural testing [ICSE'05]
  - Specification driven: User written test
  - Test plans: Recipe of how & where to test
  - Path specific: Instrument only what is needed
  - Dynamic: Insert & remove instrumentation

## Jazz – A Framework Instance

- Structural testing for Java programs
  - Branch, node, def-use coverage
  - User-written specification, demand-driven testing, result reports
- Implementation
  - Eclipse 3.01 plug-in: Test specification & reporting
  - Jikes RVM: Demand-driven testing
  - Works on all programs runnable by Jikes
  - □ x86/Linux

## Jazz Demo Branch coverage of music player Test region is whole program (JOrbis) Test input is a song Compared Traditional approach with static instrumentation Demand-driven approach with dynamic instrumentation Methods and the static instrumentation approach with dynamic instrumentation

# Jazz Demo Branch coverage of music player Test region is whole program (JOrbis) Test input is a song Compared Traditional approach with static instrumentation Demand-driven approach with dynamic instrumentation If a static dynamic dynamic













- Test plan targets an instrumentation API
- FIST instrumentation engine [WOSS'04]
   Retargetable & reconfigurable
  - Dynamic insertion & removal of instrumentation
  - Binary level instrumentation (post JIT)
- Uses fast breakpoints [Kessler]: Replace existing instruction with a jump to instrumentation





## Branch Coverage Example

- Record which edges are executed
  - Determine (source, sink) edge pairs hit at run-time
  - Source is a branch
  - Sink can be taken & not-taken target of branch
- Within a test region, dynamically instrument along path of execution
  - Insert instrumentation at edge sink blocks
  - Remove instrumentation at edge source as soon as branch covered









## **Experiments**

- Traditional vs. Jazz (in same implementation)
   Compared coverage and run-time
- SPECjvm98 benchmarks
- unloaded 2.4 Ghz Pentium IV, 1GB of memory
- RedHat Linux 7.3
- Coverage same reported by both tools
  - Branch coverage: 38.9-58%
  - Node coverage: 75-90.6%
  - Def-use coverage: 66.9-90.5%





## Summary

- A new tool (Jazz) for structural testing
   Implements demand-driven approach
  - Test specification in Eclipse IDE
  - □ Test planner & dynamic instrumentation in Jikes

## Very low overhead

 E.g., branch coverage tool is 3-4x faster than traditional approaches

